

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>CRIMINAL NO.07-CR-30172-MJR</b>
	)	
<b>ADRIAN PEREZ-ORTIZ,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

**REAGAN, District Judge:**

On January 11, 2008, the United States of America and Perez-Ortiz filed a written consent to appear before Magistrate Judge Clifford Proud pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 and SDIL Rule 72.1(b)(2). At that time, Perez-Ortiz entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment.

On January 11, 2008, Judge Proud submitted a Report recommending that the undersigned District Judge accept the plea of guilty, that a presentence investigation and report be prepared, and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly (Doc. 23).

The Report was sent to the parties along with a "NOTICE" informing them of their right to appeal by way of filing "Objections" within ten days of service of the Report. However, both the United States and Perez-Ortiz have filed documents stating that they do not object to the Report (Docs. 24 & 25). Therefore, pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 636(b)**, this Court need not conduct *de novo* review. *Thomas v. Arn*, **474 U.S. 140, 149-52 (1985)**; *Video Views Inc. v. Studio 21, Ltd.*, **797 F.2d 538 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986)**.

Accordingly, the undersigned District Judge **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Proud's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 23), **ACCEPTS** Perez-Ortiz's plea of guilty, **ADJUDGES** Perez-Ortiz guilty, **REFERS** the matter to the United States Probation Office so that a presentence investigation and report can be prepared, and **SETS** a sentencing hearing for **9:30 a.m. on May 2, 2008.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2008.**

s/ Michael J. Reagan  
**MICHAEL J. REAGAN**  
**United States District Judge**